Dear Environment Protection Authority (EPA),

Thank you for opening to public consultation your draft Climate Change Assessment Requirements (CCARs) and your draft Guide for Large Emitters.

I second the submission of Lock the Gate to the extent that it's relevant to what you are trying to do here. And, if they have made submissions, I second the submissions of the Total Environment Centre (TEC), the Nature Conservation Council (NCC) of NSW, and the Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF) also.

** ** **

Also:

There's a typo at 'NSW achieving its achieving its' in section 1.2.2 on page 6.

In Box 10 in section 4.7, I think 'quantifications' is meant to be 'qualifications'. (And one might spell 'resume' with its accents.)

In figure 1, I suggest giving 'Will the project be carried out at an existing EPL premises?' its own Yes bubble, on an arrow going all the way to the left. Sharing the Yes bubble is weird.

Finally, please consider which of the two guides an existing large emitter should use, if they propose a modification with a moderate increase to its GHG emissions. (Fifth paragraph of section 1, and no doubt other places as well.)

Yours faithfully,
Andrew L